Sent: 2/14/2018 8:54:49 PM
Subject: FW: NEPA: infrastructure

https://www.epa.gov/newsreleases/administrator-pruitt-participates-white-house-infrastructure-initiative-roundtable

### News Releases from Headquarters>Office of the Administrator (AO)

# Administrator Pruitt Participates in White House Infrastructure Initiative Roundtable

02/12/2018
Contact Information:
EPA Press Office (press@epa.gov)

**WASHINGTON** (February 12, 2018) - Today, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Scott Pruitt participated in the White House Infrastructure Initiative Roundtable alongside President Donald Trump, other members of the Cabinet and state and local officials from around the country.

"President Trump's infrastructure plan will revitalize our nation's once-great infrastructure and create a safer and stronger America," **said EPA Administrator Scott Pruitt**. "Infrastructure includes more than roads and bridges; we will also rebuild our nation's crumbling water infrastructure and clean up contaminated land, both of which are critical to environmental protection and economic growth in our communities. Through regulatory reforms and targeted investments, EPA will play a leading role in this effort."

#### Background:

The President's infrastructure proposal includes three elements that directly impact EPA and its role in repairing and restoring the nation's water infrastructure and cleaning up contaminated land:

- Funding: The proposal seeks \$100 billion in competitive grant programs to incentivize investment in infrastructure that leverages federal investments, is financially sustainable or improves performance. Of this amount, EPA is expected to receive about \$20 billion for grant programs to support drinking water, wastewater and stormwater facilities and for Brownfields and Superfund cleanup and land revitalization.
  - The proposal also requests \$50 billion to fund rural infrastructure, including state water facilities and cleanup programs. \$40 billion of this money would be provided to states in block grants according to a formula. The remaining \$10 billion would be for a new competitive grant program administered by EPA, the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the Department of Transportation for communities with less than 50,000 people.
- Policy Reforms: The President's infrastructure initiative would amend the Clean Water Act, Safe Drinking Water
  Act and Water Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (WIFIA) to promote private investment and improved
  management of water infrastructure through expanded use of State Revolving Loan Funds. It also increases
  WIFIA funding authorizations and expands WIFIA eligibility to include certain Superfund, Brownfields and Army
  Corps flood projects.

Additionally, it would change the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act to authorize administrative settlements and to clarify provisions identified by the Superfund Task Force as barriers to private investment in cleanup projects.

- Permitting: Under the President's "One Agency, One Permit" concept, a lead federal agency would be
  responsible for issuing a single record of decision for a major project within two years. Duplicative
  environmental reviews and processes would be eliminated in favor of more cooperation and earlier engagement
  by all relevant agencies in order to achieve positive environmental outcomes, regulatory certainty, and public
  transparency. Among the changes needed to implement these reforms are:
  - o Amend Clean Air Act section 309 to eliminate the requirement for EPA to review and publicly comment on other agencies' Environmental Impact Statements.
  - Amend Clean Water Act section 401 to establish an administrative appeal process to resolve disputes involving a state's determination that a project would lead to a violation of its water quality standards.
  - Amend Clean Water Act section 404(c) to eliminate EPA's ability to veto an Army Corps section 404
     permit for infrastructure projects in order to implement the one federal permit decision.
  - Amend the Clean Water Act to clarify the Army Corps' authority to construe the jurisdictional term "navigable waters" under section 404.
  - Amend the Clean Air Act to clarify that conformity requirements apply only to the most recent National Ambient Air Quality Standard for the same pollutant.

From: Anderson, Julie [Anderson.Julie@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 10/24/2018 6:25:21 PM

To: Goforth, Kathleen [Goforth.Kathleen@epa.gov]; Dunning, Connell [Dunning.Connell@epa.gov]; Brush, Jason

[Brush.Jason@epa.gov]; Miller, Amy [Miller.Amy@epa.gov]; TROMBADORE, CLAIRE [Trombadore.Claire@epa.gov];

Berg, Elizabeth [Berg.Elizabeth@epa.gov]; Jones, Joel E. [Jones.Joel@epa.gov]

**Subject**: Fwd: FYI - Memo on Changes to Environmental Review Rating

Attachments: Env Review Rating 10.22.2018.pdf; ATT00001.htm

#### Sent from my iPhone

#### Begin forwarded message:

From: "Gargas, Toni" < Gargas. Toni@epa.gov > Date: October 24, 2018 at 11:23:54 AM PDT

**To:** Enforcement Division Directors < <a href="mailto:Enforcement Division Directors@epa.gov">Enforcement Division Directors@epa.gov</a>>, Enforcement

Coordinators < Enforcement Coordinators@epa.gov >, Regional Counsel Contacts

<Regional Counsel Contacts@epa.gov>

Subject: FYI - Memo on Changes to Environmental Review Rating

Good Afternoon -

Just an FYI document – memo on Changes to Environmental Review Rating Process for NEPA. Passing on per request of OECA.

Thank you, Toni Gargas

Toni Gargas
Lead Region Coordinator for the Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance
US EPA, Region 7
Enforcement Coordination Office
11201 Renner Blvd.
Lenexa, Kansas 66219
913-551-7193

From: Gentile, Laura [Gentile.Laura@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 4/2/2018 1:12:16 PM

To: Tomiak, Robert [tomiak.robert@epa.gov]; Knight, Kelly [knight.kelly@epa.gov]

CC: Young, Brenda [young.brenda@epa.gov]; Hessert, Aimee [Hessert.Aimee@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: Draft memo and media release Attachments: Revised Draft PR NEPA CLEAN.docx

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Laura Gentile Office of Policy U.S. EPA Desk 202-564-3158

From: Tomiak, Robert

**Sent:** Monday, April 02, 2018 8:42 AM **To:** Knight, Kelly <a href="mailto:knight.kelly@epa.gov">knight.kelly@epa.gov</a>

Cc: Young, Brenda <young.brenda@epa.gov>; Gentile, Laura <Gentile.Laura@epa.gov>; Hessert, Aimee

<Hessert.Aimee@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Draft memo and media release

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Thanks, Rob

From: Knight, Kelly

Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 7:48 AM

To: Tomiak, Robert <tomiak.robert@epa.gov>

Cc: Young, Brenda < young.brenda@epa.gov>; Gentile, Laura < Gentile.Laura@epa.gov>; Hessert, Aimee

<Hessert.Aimee@epa.gov>

Subject: Draft memo and media release

Rob,

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

R,

#### Kelly

Kelly Knight
Director, NEPA Compliance Division
Environmental Protection Agency
202-564-2141 (office)

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) (cell)

From: Knight, Kelly [knight.kelly@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 3/29/2018 6:14:20 PM

To: Gentile, Laura [Gentile.Laura@epa.gov]
CC: Hessert, Aimee [Hessert.Aimee@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: Draft NEPA press release
Attachments: Revised Draft PR NEPArev1.docx

Here are some thoughts from me.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

.....

From: Gentile, Laura

Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2018 1:33 PMTo: Knight, Kelly <knight.kelly@epa.gov>Cc: Hessert, Aimee <Hessert.Aimee@epa.gov>

Subject: Draft NEPA press release

Hi Kelly,

I've attached a draft press release for your review.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Laura

Laura Gentile Office of Policy U.S. EPA Desk 202-564-3158

From: Gentile, Laura [Gentile.Laura@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 3/29/2018 5:32:40 PM

To: Knight, Kelly [knight.kelly@epa.gov]
CC: Hessert, Aimee [Hessert.Aimee@epa.gov]

Subject: Draft NEPA press release
Attachments: Revised Draft PR NEPA.docx

Hi Kelly,

I've attached a draft press release for your review.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Laura

Laura Gentile Office of Policy U.S. EPA Desk 202-564-3158

From: Gentile, Laura [Gentile.Laura@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 3/29/2018 2:50:48 PM

To: Hessert, Aimee [Hessert.Aimee@epa.gov]

Subject: draft NEPA press release
Attachments: Revised Draft NEPA PR.docx

Hi Aimee,

Sorry for the delay in getting this to you. Here's a first draft for your review. Thanks

Laura

Laura Gentile Office of Policy U.S. EPA Desk 202-564-3158

ED\_003001\_00007743-00001

From: Roemele, Julie [Roemele.Julie@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 10/15/2018 3:58:19 PM

To: Hessert, Aimee [Hessert.Aimee@epa.gov]; Barnhart, Megan [barnhart.megan@epa.gov]

**Subject**: DRAFT Follow-up Survey Questions

Attachments: 2018-10-09\_Draft Follow-up Survey Questions\_DRAFT 10\_15\_2018.docx

All:

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Julie A. Roemele Environmental Protection Agency Office of Federal Activities NEPA Compliance Division 202-564-5632

From: Hoppe, Allison [hoppe.allison@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 4/5/2018 6:42:39 PM

To: Gentile, Laura [Gentile.Laura@epa.gov]; Knight, Kelly [knight.kelly@epa.gov]; Hessert, Aimee

[Hessert.Aimee@epa.gov]

**Subject**: RE: Revised PR based on (informal) OPA review

Attachments: Revised Draft PR NEPArev6.docx

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Allison Hoppe
Attorney Advisor
American Indian & Alaska Native Special Emphasis Program Manager
Cross-Cutting Issues Law Office
Office of General Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
(202) 564-1912

I am an EPA LGBTQ+ Ally. Learn more and take the pledge here.

Pronouns: She/Her/Hers

From: Gentile, Laura

Sent: Thursday, April 5, 2018 12:52 PM

To: Knight, Kelly <knight.kelly@epa.gov>; Hoppe, Allison <hoppe.allison@epa.gov>; Hessert, Aimee

<Hessert.Aimee@epa.gov>

Subject: Revised PR based on (informal) OPA review

### Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Laura Gentile Office of Policy U.S. EPA Desk 202-564-3158

From: Gentile, Laura [Gentile.Laura@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 4/4/2018 2:43:17 PM

To: Knight, Kelly [knight.kelly@epa.gov]; Hessert, Aimee [Hessert.Aimee@epa.gov]

**Subject**: RE: 309 ratings draft press release **Attachments**: Revised Draft PR NEPArev4.docx

I've attached a revised version for consideration / discussion later today.

Laura Gentile Office of Policy U.S. EPA

Desk 202-564-3158

From: Knight, Kelly

Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2018 7:02 AM

To: Hessert, Aimee <Hessert.Aimee@epa.gov>; Gentile, Laura <Gentile.Laura@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: 309 ratings draft press release

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: Hoppe, Allison

Sent: Tuesday, April 3, 2018 3:48 PM

To: Knight, Kelly <a href="mailto:knight.kelly@epa.gov">knight.kelly@epa.gov</a>

Cc: Marshall, Tom <a href="mailto:knigepa.gov">marshall.tom@epa.gov</a>

Subject: RE: 309 ratings draft press release

### Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Allison Hoppe Attorney Advisor American Indian & Alaska Native Special Emphasis Program Manager

Const C. Historia C. Maska Matter Special Emphasis Frogram Manager

Cross-Cutting Issues Law Office
Office of General Counsel

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

(202) 564-1912

I am an EPA LGBTQ+ Ally. Learn more and take the pledge here.

Pronouns: She/Her/Hers

From: Knight, Kelly

Sent: Tuesday, April 3, 2018 11:02 AM

To: Marshall, Tom <marshall.tom@epa.gov>; Hoppe, Allison <hoppe.allison@epa.gov>

Subject: 309 ratings draft press release

Tom/Allison,

### Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

#### Thanks

Kelly Knight
Director, NEPA Compliance Division
Environmental Protection Agency
202-564-2141 (office)

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) (cell)

Hessert, Aimee [Hessert.Aimee@epa.gov] From:

3/29/2018 5:21:59 PM Sent:

To: Gentile, Laura [Gentile.Laura@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: draft NEPA press release

Attachments: Revised Draft NEPA PR(ASH).docx; Revised Draft NEPA PR(ASH - clean).docx

Thanks Laura!

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Aimee Hessert NEPA Compliance Division 202-564-0993

From: Gentile, Laura Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2018 10:50 AM

To: Hessert, Aimee

Subject: draft NEPA press release

Hi Aimee, Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Thanks Laura

Laura Gentile Office of Policy U.S. EPA Desk 202-564-3158 From: Hessert, Aimee [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=6FFCE5F05BB449BAAE43F876D34E63FC-AHESSERT]

**Sent**: 7/31/2018 1:04:48 AM

To: Hessert, Aimee [Hessert.Aimee@epa.gov]

Subject: Fwd: Draft Documents - Implementing Changes to EPA's Environmental Review Rating Process

Attachments: Draft Paragraph Discontinuing Ratings 7-27-18.docx; ATT00001.htm; Draft Letter Discontinuing Ratings 7-27-18.docx;

ATT00002.htm; Draft Talking Points Discontinuing Ratings 7-27-18.docx; ATT00003.htm

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Hessert, Aimee" < Hessert.Aimee@epa.gov >

**Date:** July 29, 2018 at 2:09:27 PM EDT

To: "Knight, Kelly" < knight.kelly@epa.gov>

Subject: Draft Documents - Implementing Changes to EPA's Environmental Review

**Rating Process** 

DRAFT DELIBERATIVE- DO NOT DISTRIBUTE

Kelly,

Attached are draft implementation documents for the draft memo "Changes to EPA's Environmental Review Rating Process."

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

that would be helpful.

Thank you, Aimee

Aimee Hessert NEPA Compliance Division 202-564-0993

From: Marshall, Tom [marshall.tom@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 4/3/2018 3:30:31 PM

To: Hoppe, Allison [hoppe.allison@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: 309 ratings draft press release
Attachments: Revised Draft PR NEPArev3.docx

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Tom Marshall Assistant General Counsel Cross-Cutting Issues Law Office Office of General Counsel US EPA, Room 7340C WJCN 202.564.5549

From: Knight, Kelly

Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 11:02 AM

To: Marshall, Tom <marshall.tom@epa.gov>; Hoppe, Allison <hoppe.allison@epa.gov>

Subject: 309 ratings draft press release

Tom/Allison,

### Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Thanks

Kelly Knight
Director, NEPA Compliance Division
Environmental Protection Agency
202-564-2141 (office)

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) (Cell)

From: Knight, Kelly [knight.kelly@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 4/3/2018 3:02:04 PM

To: Marshall, Tom [marshall.tom@epa.gov]; Hoppe, Allison [hoppe.allison@epa.gov]

**Subject**: 309 ratings draft press release **Attachments**: Revised Draft PR NEPArev3.docx

Tom/Allison,

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

**Thanks** 

Kelly Knight
Director, NEPA Compliance Division
Environmental Protection Agency
202-564-2141 (office)

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) Cell)

From: Hoppe, Allison [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=HOPPE, ALLISON]

**Sent**: 3/30/2018 3:49:40 PM

To: Marshall, Tom [marshall.tom@epa.gov]

Subject: FYI - FW: Draft NEPA press release

Attachments: Revised Draft PR NEPArev2.docx

From: Gentile, Laura

**Sent:** Friday, March 30, 2018 11:29 AM **To:** Hoppe, Allison <a href="mailto:hoppe.allison@epa.gov">hoppe.allison@epa.gov</a> **Subject:** FW: Draft NEPA press release

Laura Gentile Office of Policy U.S. EPA

Desk 202-564-3158

From: Gentile, Laura

Sent: Friday, March 30, 2018 10:27 AM

To: Knight, Kelly < knight.kelly@epa.gov >
Cc: Hessert, Aimee < Hessert.Aimee@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: Draft NEPA press release

Hi Kelly,

### Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Thanks, Laura

Laura Gentile Office of Policy U.S. EPA

Desk 202-564-3158

From: Knight, Kelly

Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2018 2:14 PMTo: Gentile, Laura < Gentile, Laura@epa.gov >Cc: Hessert, Aimee < Hessert, Aimee@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: Draft NEPA press release

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: Gentile, Laura

Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2018 1:33 PM

To: Knight, Kelly < knight.kelly@epa.gov >
Cc: Hessert, Aimee < Hessert.Aimee@epa.gov >

Subject: Draft NEPA press release

Hi Kelly,

I've attached a draft press release for your review.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Laura

Laura Gentile Office of Policy U.S. EPA Desk 202-564-3158

From: Hoppe, Allison [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=HOPPE, ALLISON]

**Sent**: 4/3/2018 7:47:41 PM

To: Knight, Kelly [knight.kelly@epa.gov]

CC: Marshall, Tom [marshall.tom@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: 309 ratings draft press release

Attachments: Revised Draft PR NEPArev3 (002).docx

### Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Allison Hoppe
Attorney Advisor
American Indian & Alaska Native Special Emphasis Program Manager
Cross-Cutting Issues Law Office
Office of General Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
(202) 564-1912

I am an EPA LGBTQ+ Ally. Learn more and take the pledge here.

Pronouns: She/Her/Hers

From: Knight, Kelly

Sent: Tuesday, April 3, 2018 11:02 AM

To: Marshall, Tom <marshall.tom@epa.gov>; Hoppe, Allison <hoppe.allison@epa.gov>

Subject: 309 ratings draft press release

Tom/Allison,

### Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

**Thanks** 

Kelly Knight Director, NEPA Compliance Division Environmental Protection Agency 202-564-2141 (office)

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) (Cell)

From: Westlake, Kenneth [westlake.kenneth@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 12/14/2018 9:02:59 PM

To: michael..johnsen@dot.gov; Ciavarella, Jason (FTA) [jason.ciavarella@dot.gov]; teresa.pohlman@hq.dhs.gov;

jennifer.hass@hq.dhs.gov; christopher.oh@dhs.gov; michael.e.wurl@hud.gov; melanie.h.castillo@hud.gov;

carmen.e.reveron@hud.gov; david.a.nuccio@hud.gov; robert.f.lallier@nasa.gov; ellen.brody@noaa.gov

Subject: EPA and NEPA

Attachments: Env Review Rating Memo 10.22.2018.pdf

#### Greetings,

I'm writing to inform you of a few changes in the National Environmental Policy Act program from EPA's perspective. I would welcome a brief phone call to discuss how our agencies can work together in this program for mutual benefit.

First, as of October 22, 2018, EPA will no longer include ratings in our comment letters on Draft Environmental Impact Statements (EISs). I have attached a memo that describes this change.

Second, in addition to EPA reviewing and commenting on EISs, as required by law, Region 5 reiterates our desire to receive Environmental Assessments (EAs) from your agency for review and comment. As part of the NEPA review process, we can enhance efficiency and project outcomes by:

- Identifying issues early in the project process, prior to design, engineering, or permit application.
- Connecting the project team to EPA and other nationwide subject matter experts to address unique project challenges.
- Identifying other federal and state agency programs and resources to benefit the project.
- Providing information about EPA's free screening tools and other resources to facilitate and expedite the environmental review process.
- Recommending impact assessment methodologies, approaches for mitigation, and other best practices.

We are here as a resource to engage with you during the development of EAs and EISs, including early coordination, scoping, and formal NEPA document review. Please send EPA NEPA materials when available. Please share this information widely within your regional or district offices.

Sincerely, Ken Westlake

Westlake, Kenneth [westlake.kenneth@epa.gov] From:

Sent: 12/14/2018 9:02:59 PM

To: michael..johnsen@dot.gov; Ciavarella, Jason (FTA) [jason.ciavarella@dot.gov]; teresa.pohlman@hq.dhs.gov;

jennifer.hass@hq.dhs.gov; christopher.oh@dhs.gov; michael.e.wurl@hud.gov; melanie.h.castillo@hud.gov;

carmen.e.reveron@hud.gov; david.a.nuccio@hud.gov; robert.f.lallier@nasa.gov; ellen.brody@noaa.gov

Subject: EPA and NEPA

Attachments: Env Review Rating Memo 10.22.2018.pdf

#### Greetings,

I'm writing to inform you of a few changes in the National Environmental Policy Act program from EPA's perspective. I would welcome a brief phone call to discuss how our agencies can work together in this program for mutual benefit.

First, as of October 22, 2018, EPA will no longer include ratings in our comment letters on Draft Environmental Impact Statements (EISs). I have attached a memo that describes this change.

Second, in addition to EPA reviewing and commenting on EISs, as required by law, Region 5 reiterates our desire to receive Environmental Assessments (EAs) from your agency for review and comment. As part of the NEPA review process, we can enhance efficiency and project outcomes by:

- Identifying issues early in the project process, prior to design, engineering, or permit application.
- Connecting the project team to EPA and other nationwide subject matter experts to address unique project challenges.
- Identifying other federal and state agency programs and resources to benefit the project.
- Providing information about EPA's free screening tools and other resources to facilitate and expedite the environmental review process.
- Recommending impact assessment methodologies, approaches for mitigation, and other best practices.

We are here as a resource to engage with you during the development of EAs and EISs, including early coordination, scoping, and formal NEPA document review. Please send EPA NEPA materials when available. Please share this information widely within your regional or district offices.

Sincerely, Ken Westlake

From: Westlake, Kenneth [westlake.kenneth@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 12/14/2018 9:24:18 PM

To: louise clemency@fws.gov; Elizabeth McCloskey@fws.gov; marissa reed@fws.gov; scott pruitt@fws.gov;

scott\_hicks@fws.gov; matthew\_mangan@fsw.gov; kraig\_mcpeek\_fws.gov [kraig\_mcpeek@fws.gov]; ohio@fws.gov; peter\_fasbender@fws.gov; elizabeth\_rigby@fws.gov; ryan.m.swearingin@aphis.usda.gov; kellie.kubena@usda.gov; jennifer.bullock@in.usda.gov; andy.granskog@mi.usda.gov; ron.omann@mn.usda.gov; scott.shaneyfelt@usda.gov;

michael.wallace@il.usda.gov; joseph.dorvana@wi.usda.gov; glenn.elliott@va.gov

Subject: EPA and NEPA

Attachments: Env Review Rating Memo 10.22.2018.pdf

#### Greetings,

I'm writing to inform you of a few changes in the National Environmental Policy Act program from EPA's perspective. I would welcome a brief phone call to discuss how our agencies can work together in this program for mutual benefit.

First, as of October 22, 2018, EPA will no longer include ratings in our comment letters on Draft Environmental Impact Statements (EISs). I have attached a memo that describes this change.

Second, in addition to EPA reviewing and commenting on EISs, as required by law, Region 5 reiterates our desire to receive Environmental Assessments (EAs) from your agency for review and comment. As part of the NEPA review process, we can enhance efficiency and project outcomes by:

- Identifying issues early in the project process, prior to design, engineering, or permit application.
- Connecting the project team to EPA and other nationwide subject matter experts to address unique project challenges.
- Identifying other federal and state agency programs and resources to benefit the project.
- Providing information about EPA's free screening tools and other resources to facilitate and expedite the environmental review process.
- Recommending impact assessment methodologies, approaches for mitigation, and other best practices.

We are here as a resource to engage with you during the development of EAs and EISs, including early coordination, scoping, and formal NEPA document review. Please send EPA NEPA materials when available. Please share this information widely within your regional or district offices.

Sincerely, Ken Westlake

From: Westlake, Kenneth [westlake.kenneth@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 12/14/2018 8:23:08 PM

To: Scott.Doig@bia.gov; eric.washburn@uscg.mil; charles.maricic@uscg.gov; aaron.j.yeager.civ@mail.mil;

88abw.pa@us.af.mil; justine.woodward@navy.mil; christel.d.johnson.civ@mail.mil; Kristin.kerwin@ee.doe.gov;

julie.smith@hq.doe.gov; velikonjamg@state.gov

**Subject**: EPA and NEPA process

Attachments: Env Review Rating Memo 10.22.2018.pdf

#### Greetings,

I'm writing to inform you of a few changes in the National Environmental Policy Act program from EPA's perspective. I would welcome a brief phone call to discuss how our agencies can work together in this program for mutual benefit.

First, as of October 22, 2018, EPA will no longer include ratings in our comment letters on Draft Environmental Impact Statements (EISs). I have attached a memo that describes this change.

Second, in addition to EPA reviewing and commenting on EISs, as required by law, Region 5 reiterates our desire to receive Environmental Assessments (EAs) from your agency for review and comment. As part of the NEPA review process, we can enhance efficiency and project outcomes by:

- Identifying issues early in the project process, prior to design, engineering, or permit application.
- Connecting the project team to EPA and other nationwide subject matter experts to address unique project challenges.
- Identifying other federal and state agency programs and resources to benefit the project.
- Providing information about EPA's free screening tools and other resources to facilitate and expedite the environmental review process.
- Recommending impact assessment methodologies, approaches for mitigation, and other best practices.

We are here as a resource to engage with you during the development of EAs and EISs, including early coordination, scoping, and formal NEPA document review. Please send EPA NEPA materials when available. Please share this information widely within your regional or district offices.

Sincerely, Ken Westlake

From: Westlake, Kenneth [westlake.kenneth@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 12/14/2018 9:26:32 PM

To: matthew mangan@fws.gov; louise clemency@fws.gov; Elizabeth McCloskey@fws.gov; marissa reed@fws.gov;

scott\_pruitt@fws.gov; scott\_hicks@fws.gov; matthew\_mangan@fsw.gov; kraig\_mcpeek\_fws.gov [kraig\_mcpeek@fws.gov]; ohio@fws.gov; peter\_fasbender@fws.gov; elizabeth\_rigby@fws.gov; ryan.m.swearingin@aphis.usda.gov; kellie.kubena@usda.gov; jennifer.bullock@in.usda.gov;

andy.granskog@mi.usda.gov; ron.omann@mn.usda.gov; scott.shaneyfelt@usda.gov; michael.wallace@il.usda.gov;

joseph.dorvana@wi.usda.gov; glenn.elliott@va.gov

Subject: EPA and NEPA

Attachments: Env Review Rating Memo 10.22.2018.pdf

#### Greetings,

I'm writing to inform you of a few changes in the National Environmental Policy Act program from EPA's perspective. I would welcome a brief phone call to discuss how our agencies can work together in this program for mutual benefit.

First, as of October 22, 2018, EPA will no longer include ratings in our comment letters on Draft Environmental Impact Statements (EISs). I have attached a memo that describes this change.

Second, in addition to EPA reviewing and commenting on EISs, as required by law, Region 5 reiterates our desire to receive Environmental Assessments (EAs) from your agency for review and comment. As part of the NEPA review process, we can enhance efficiency and project outcomes by:

- Identifying issues early in the project process, prior to design, engineering, or permit application.
- Connecting the project team to EPA and other nationwide subject matter experts to address unique project challenges.
- Identifying other federal and state agency programs and resources to benefit the project.
- Providing information about EPA's free screening tools and other resources to facilitate and expedite the environmental review process.
- Recommending impact assessment methodologies, approaches for mitigation, and other best practices.

We are here as a resource to engage with you during the development of EAs and EISs, including early coordination, scoping, and formal NEPA document review. Please send EPA NEPA materials when available. Please share this information widely within your regional or district offices.

Sincerely, Ken Westlake

From: Westlake, Kenneth [westlake.kenneth@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 12/14/2018 9:25:14 PM

To: michael.johnsen@dot.gov; michael..johnsen@dot.gov; Ciavarella, Jason (FTA) [jason.ciavarella@dot.gov];

teresa.pohlman@hq.dhs.gov; jennifer.hass@hq.dhs.gov; christopher.oh@dhs.gov; michael.e.wurl@hud.gov; melanie.h.castillo@hud.gov; carmen.e.reveron@hud.gov; david.a.nuccio@hud.gov; robert.f.lallier@nasa.gov;

ellen.brody@noaa.gov

Subject: EPA and NEPA

Attachments: Env Review Rating Memo 10.22.2018.pdf

#### Greetings,

I'm writing to inform you of a few changes in the National Environmental Policy Act program from EPA's perspective. I would welcome a brief phone call to discuss how our agencies can work together in this program for mutual benefit.

First, as of October 22, 2018, EPA will no longer include ratings in our comment letters on Draft Environmental Impact Statements (EISs). I have attached a memo that describes this change.

Second, in addition to EPA reviewing and commenting on EISs, as required by law, Region 5 reiterates our desire to receive Environmental Assessments (EAs) from your agency for review and comment. As part of the NEPA review process, we can enhance efficiency and project outcomes by:

- Identifying issues early in the project process, prior to design, engineering, or permit application.
- Connecting the project team to EPA and other nationwide subject matter experts to address unique project challenges.
- Identifying other federal and state agency programs and resources to benefit the project.
- Providing information about EPA's free screening tools and other resources to facilitate and expedite the environmental review process.
- Recommending impact assessment methodologies, approaches for mitigation, and other best practices.

We are here as a resource to engage with you during the development of EAs and EISs, including early coordination, scoping, and formal NEPA document review. Please send EPA NEPA materials when available. Please share this information widely within your regional or district offices.

Sincerely, Ken Westlake

From: Westlake, Kenneth [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=B47FC28FF7CB4C84A7550D972EBBEEDC-KWESTLAK]

**Sent**: 12/14/2018 9:24:18 PM

To: louise\_clemency@fws.gov; Elizabeth\_McCloskey@fws.gov; marissa\_reed@fws.gov; scott\_pruitt@fws.gov;

scott\_hicks@fws.gov; matthew\_mangan@fsw.gov; kraig\_mcpeek\_fws.gov [kraig\_mcpeek@fws.gov]; ohio@fws.gov; peter\_fasbender@fws.gov; elizabeth\_rigby@fws.gov; ryan.m.swearingin@aphis.usda.gov; kellie.kubena@usda.gov; jennifer.bullock@in.usda.gov; andy.granskog@mi.usda.gov; ron.omann@mn.usda.gov; scott.shaneyfelt@usda.gov;

michael.wallace@il.usda.gov; joseph.dorvana@wi.usda.gov; glenn.elliott@va.gov

Subject: EPA and NEPA

Attachments: Env Review Rating Memo 10.22.2018.pdf

#### Greetings,

I'm writing to inform you of a few changes in the National Environmental Policy Act program from EPA's perspective. I would welcome a brief phone call to discuss how our agencies can work together in this program for mutual benefit.

First, as of October 22, 2018, EPA will no longer include ratings in our comment letters on Draft Environmental Impact Statements (EISs). I have attached a memo that describes this change.

Second, in addition to EPA reviewing and commenting on EISs, as required by law, Region 5 reiterates our desire to receive Environmental Assessments (EAs) from your agency for review and comment. As part of the NEPA review process, we can enhance efficiency and project outcomes by:

- Identifying issues early in the project process, prior to design, engineering, or permit application.
- Connecting the project team to EPA and other nationwide subject matter experts to address unique project challenges.
- Identifying other federal and state agency programs and resources to benefit the project.
- Providing information about EPA's free screening tools and other resources to facilitate and expedite the environmental review process.
- Recommending impact assessment methodologies, approaches for mitigation, and other best practices.

We are here as a resource to engage with you during the development of EAs and EISs, including early coordination, scoping, and formal NEPA document review. Please send EPA NEPA materials when available. Please share this information widely within your regional or district offices.

Sincerely, Ken Westlake

From: Westlake, Kenneth [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=B47FC28FF7CB4C84A7550D972EBBEEDC-KWESTLAK]

**Sent**: 12/14/2018 9:13:27 PM

To: Blackburn, Scott [scott\_blackburn@nps.gov]; daniel\_plath@nps.gov; vicki.rutson@stb.gov; Davis, Susanne J

[Susanne.J.Davis@usace.army.mil]; james.s.ross@usace.army.mil; teri.c.allen@usace.army.mil;

drew.c.russell@usace.army.mil; rebecca.a.rutherford@usace.army.mil; kirk.e.bargerhuff@usace.army.mil; charles.a.uhlarik@usace.army.mil; martin.p.wargo@usace.army.mil; mark.w.scalabrino@usace.army.mil; rebecca.m.albert@usace.army.mil; terry.birkenstock@usace.army.mil; bobbi.j.mcclain@usace.army.mil

Subject: EPA and NEPA

Attachments: Env Review Rating Memo 10.22.2018.pdf

#### Greetings,

I'm writing to inform you of a few changes in the National Environmental Policy Act program from EPA's perspective. I would welcome a brief phone call to discuss how our agencies can work together in this program for mutual benefit.

First, as of October 22, 2018, EPA will no longer include ratings in our comment letters on Draft Environmental Impact Statements (EISs). I have attached a memo that describes this change.

Second, in addition to EPA reviewing and commenting on EISs, as required by law, Region 5 reiterates our desire to receive Environmental Assessments (EAs) from your agency for review and comment. As part of the NEPA review process, we can enhance efficiency and project outcomes by:

- Identifying issues early in the project process, prior to design, engineering, or permit application.
- Connecting the project team to EPA and other nationwide subject matter experts to address unique project challenges.
- Identifying other federal and state agency programs and resources to benefit the project.
- Providing information about EPA's free screening tools and other resources to facilitate and expedite the environmental review process.
- Recommending impact assessment methodologies, approaches for mitigation, and other best practices.

We are here as a resource to engage with you during the development of EAs and EISs, including early coordination, scoping, and formal NEPA document review. Please send EPA NEPA materials when available. Please share this information widely within your regional or district offices.

Sincerely, Ken Westlake

From: Westlake, Kenneth [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=B47FC28FF7CB4C84A7550D972EBBEEDC-KWESTLAK]

**Sent**: 12/14/2018 9:02:59 PM

To: michael..johnsen@dot.gov; Ciavarella, Jason (FTA) [jason.ciavarella@dot.gov]; teresa.pohlman@hq.dhs.gov;

jennifer.hass@hq.dhs.gov; christopher.oh@dhs.gov; michael.e.wurl@hud.gov; melanie.h.castillo@hud.gov;

carmen.e.reveron@hud.gov; david.a.nuccio@hud.gov; robert.f.lallier@nasa.gov; ellen.brody@noaa.gov

Subject: EPA and NEPA

Attachments: Env Review Rating Memo 10.22.2018.pdf

#### Greetings,

I'm writing to inform you of a few changes in the National Environmental Policy Act program from EPA's perspective. I would welcome a brief phone call to discuss how our agencies can work together in this program for mutual benefit.

First, as of October 22, 2018, EPA will no longer include ratings in our comment letters on Draft Environmental Impact Statements (EISs). I have attached a memo that describes this change.

Second, in addition to EPA reviewing and commenting on EISs, as required by law, Region 5 reiterates our desire to receive Environmental Assessments (EAs) from your agency for review and comment. As part of the NEPA review process, we can enhance efficiency and project outcomes by:

- Identifying issues early in the project process, prior to design, engineering, or permit application.
- Connecting the project team to EPA and other nationwide subject matter experts to address unique project challenges.
- Identifying other federal and state agency programs and resources to benefit the project.
- Providing information about EPA's free screening tools and other resources to facilitate and expedite the environmental review process.
- Recommending impact assessment methodologies, approaches for mitigation, and other best practices.

We are here as a resource to engage with you during the development of EAs and EISs, including early coordination, scoping, and formal NEPA document review. Please send EPA NEPA materials when available. Please share this information widely within your regional or district offices.

Sincerely, Ken Westlake

From: Westlake, Kenneth [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=B47FC28FF7CB4C84A7550D972EBBEEDC-KWESTLAK]

**Sent**: 12/14/2018 8:57:50 PM

To: Gilbert, Carrie - FS [carriegilbert@fs.fed.us]; aerba@fs.fed.us; brendancain@fs.fed.us; mchaveas@fs.fed.us;

chmorgan@fs.fed.us; jkreager@fs.fed.us; ccummins@fs.fed.us; pstrong@fs.fed.us; dlenz@fs.fed.us;

wspang@fs.fed.us; lauriemmo@fs.fed.us; ljackson@fs.fed.us

Subject: EPA and NEPA

Attachments: Env Review Rating Memo 10.22.2018.pdf

#### Greetings,

I'm writing to inform you of a few changes in the National Environmental Policy Act program from EPA's perspective. I would welcome a brief phone call to discuss how our agencies can work together in this program for mutual benefit.

First, as of October 22, 2018, EPA will no longer include ratings in our comment letters on Draft Environmental Impact Statements (EISs). I have attached a memo that describes this change.

Second, in addition to EPA reviewing and commenting on EISs, as required by law, Region 5 reiterates our desire to receive Environmental Assessments (EAs) from your agency for review and comment. As part of the NEPA review process, we can enhance efficiency and project outcomes by:

- Identifying issues early in the project process, prior to design, engineering, or permit application.
- Connecting the project team to EPA and other nationwide subject matter experts to address unique project challenges.
- Identifying other federal and state agency programs and resources to benefit the project.
- Providing information about EPA's free screening tools and other resources to facilitate and expedite the environmental review process.
- Recommending impact assessment methodologies, approaches for mitigation, and other best practices.

We are here as a resource to engage with you during the development of EAs and EISs, including early coordination, scoping, and formal NEPA document review. Please send EPA NEPA materials when available. Please share this information widely within your regional or district offices.

Sincerely, Ken Westlake

From: Westlake, Kenneth [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=B47FC28FF7CB4C84A7550D972EBBEEDC-KWESTLAK]

Sent: 12/14/2018 8:40:02 PM

To: Fuller, Matt (FHWA) [Matt.Fuller@dot.gov]; Piland, Janis (FHWA) [Janis.Piland@dot.gov]; Allen, Michelle (FHWA)

[michelle.allen@dot.gov]; Ian.weibel@dot.gov; phil.forst@dot.gov; tim.hill@dot.ohio.gov; Bacher-Gresock, Bethaney (FHWA) [Bethaney.Bacher-Gresock@dot.gov]; 'John.Sherrill@illinois.gov' [John.Sherrill@illinois.gov];

Hilden, Laura [lhilden@indot.IN.gov]; Barondess, Margaret (MDOT) [BARONDESSM@michigan.gov];

debra.moynihan@state.mn.us; jay.waldschmidt@dot.wi.gov

Subject: EPA and NEPA

Attachments: Env Review Rating Memo 10.22.2018.pdf

#### Greetings,

I'm writing to inform you of a few changes in the National Environmental Policy Act program from EPA's perspective. I would welcome a brief phone call to discuss how our agencies can work together in this program for mutual benefit.

First, as of October 22, 2018, EPA will no longer include ratings in our comment letters on Draft Environmental Impact Statements (EISs). I have attached a memo that describes this change.

Second, in addition to EPA reviewing and commenting on EISs, as required by law, Region 5 reiterates our desire to receive Environmental Assessments (EAs) from your agency for review and comment. As part of the NEPA review process, we can enhance efficiency and project outcomes by:

- Identifying issues early in the project process, prior to design, engineering, or permit application.
- Connecting the project team to EPA and other nationwide subject matter experts to address unique project challenges.
- Identifying other federal and state agency programs and resources to benefit the project.
- Providing information about EPA's free screening tools and other resources to facilitate and expedite the environmental review process.
- Recommending impact assessment methodologies, approaches for mitigation, and other best practices.

We are here as a resource to engage with you during the development of EAs and EISs, including early coordination, scoping, and formal NEPA document review. Please send EPA NEPA materials when available. Please share this information widely within your regional or district offices.

Sincerely, Ken Westlake

From: Westlake, Kenneth [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=B47FC28FF7CB4C84A7550D972EBBEEDC-KWESTLAK]

**Sent**: 12/14/2018 8:33:38 PM

To: deb.bartell@faa.gov; john.mayfield@faa.gov; andrew.peek@faa.gov; Al.Fenedick@faa.gov; Amy.Hanson@faa.gov;

ernest.gubry@faa.gov; joshua.fitzpatrick@faa.gov; bobb.beauchamp@faa.gov; nicholas.mueller@fema.dhs.gov;

nicholas.dorochoff@fema.dhs.gov; rich.mcguire@ferc.gov; janet.hutzel@ferc.gov

Subject: EPA and NEPA

Attachments: Env Review Rating Memo 10.22.2018.pdf

#### Greetings,

I'm writing to inform you of a few changes in the National Environmental Policy Act program from EPA's perspective. I would welcome a brief phone call to discuss how our agencies can work together in this program for mutual benefit.

First, as of October 22, 2018, EPA will no longer include ratings in our comment letters on Draft Environmental Impact Statements (EISs). I have attached a memo that describes this change.

Second, in addition to EPA reviewing and commenting on EISs, as required by law, Region 5 reiterates our desire to receive Environmental Assessments (EAs) from your agency for review and comment. As part of the NEPA review process, we can enhance efficiency and project outcomes by:

- Identifying issues early in the project process, prior to design, engineering, or permit application.
- Connecting the project team to EPA and other nationwide subject matter experts to address unique project challenges.
- Identifying other federal and state agency programs and resources to benefit the project.
- Providing information about EPA's free screening tools and other resources to facilitate and expedite the environmental review process.
- Recommending impact assessment methodologies, approaches for mitigation, and other best practices.

We are here as a resource to engage with you during the development of EAs and EISs, including early coordination, scoping, and formal NEPA document review. Please send EPA NEPA materials when available. Please share this information widely within your regional or district offices.

Sincerely, Ken Westlake

From: Westlake, Kenneth [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=B47FC28FF7CB4C84A7550D972EBBEEDC-KWESTLAK]

**Sent**: 12/14/2018 8:23:08 PM

To: Scott.Doig@bia.gov; eric.washburn@uscg.mil; charles.maricic@uscg.gov; aaron.j.yeager.civ@mail.mil;

88abw.pa@us.af.mil; justine.woodward@navy.mil; christel.d.johnson.civ@mail.mil; Kristin.kerwin@ee.doe.gov;

julie.smith@hq.doe.gov; velikonjamg@state.gov

Subject: EPA and NEPA process

Attachments: Env Review Rating Memo 10.22.2018.pdf

#### Greetings,

I'm writing to inform you of a few changes in the National Environmental Policy Act program from EPA's perspective. I would welcome a brief phone call to discuss how our agencies can work together in this program for mutual benefit.

First, as of October 22, 2018, EPA will no longer include ratings in our comment letters on Draft Environmental Impact Statements (EISs). I have attached a memo that describes this change.

Second, in addition to EPA reviewing and commenting on EISs, as required by law, Region 5 reiterates our desire to receive Environmental Assessments (EAs) from your agency for review and comment. As part of the NEPA review process, we can enhance efficiency and project outcomes by:

- Identifying issues early in the project process, prior to design, engineering, or permit application.
- Connecting the project team to EPA and other nationwide subject matter experts to address unique project challenges.
- Identifying other federal and state agency programs and resources to benefit the project.
- Providing information about EPA's free screening tools and other resources to facilitate and expedite the environmental review process.
- Recommending impact assessment methodologies, approaches for mitigation, and other best practices.

We are here as a resource to engage with you during the development of EAs and EISs, including early coordination, scoping, and formal NEPA document review. Please send EPA NEPA materials when available. Please share this information widely within your regional or district offices.

Sincerely, Ken Westlake